Analysing the Molva and Di Pietro Private RFID Authentication Scheme

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

July 7, 2008

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Table of Contents

1 The Molva - Di Pietro scheme

- Private identification
- Tag authentication
- Reader authentication

2 Problems with the identification

- Key- and pair-equivalences
- Tautologies
- Speed
- Finding k_{i,j}

3 Design flaws

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Analysing the Molva and Di Pietro Private RFID Authentication Scheme

▲口 > ▲母 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲日 > ▲

Outline

1 The Molva - Di Pietro scheme

- Private identification
- Tag authentication
- Reader authentication

2 Problems with the identification

- Key- and pair-equivalences
- Tautologies
- Speed
- Finding $k_{i,j}$

3 Design flaws

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Protocol

The protocol can be divided into three phases:

- 1 Private identification
- 2 Tag authentication
- **3** Reader authentication

Some specifics:

- There are n tags $\mathcal{T}_1 \dots \mathcal{T}_n$ in the system
- Each tag has a unique l-bit long key k_i
- Each reader \mathcal{R}_j has an ID ID_j
- Reader-specific key of a tag: $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$, where h is a hash function

・ロン ・聞 と ・ ヨン

ID of a tag is its reader-specific key

Identification

Uses the function $DPM(x) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l/3} M(x[3i], x[3i+1], x[3i+2])$, where M is the majority function:



Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Analysing the Molva and Di Pietro Private RFID Authentication Scheme

Problems with the identification

Identification

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Steps of the identification:

1 \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Identification

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

<ロ> <問> <問> < 回> < 回>

æ

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- **2** \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Identification

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$
- **3** \mathcal{T}_i generates *l*-bit nonces $r_1 \dots r_q$:

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Identification

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$
- **3** \mathcal{T}_i generates *l*-bit nonces $r_1 \dots r_q$:

$$\bullet \ \alpha_p = r_p \oplus k_{i,j}$$

Identification

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$
- **3** \mathcal{T}_i generates *l*-bit nonces $r_1 \dots r_q$:

$$\alpha_p = r_p \oplus k_{i,j}$$
$$V[p] = DPM(r_p)$$

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Identification

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$
- **3** \mathcal{T}_i generates *l*-bit nonces $r_1 \dots r_q$:

•
$$\alpha_p = r_p \oplus k_{i,j}$$

• $V[p] = DPM(r_p)$
• sends the $(\alpha_p, V[p])$ pairs

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Identification

Steps of the identification:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends ID_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes $k_{i,j} = h(k_i ||ID_j||k_i)$
- **3** \mathcal{T}_i generates *l*-bit nonces $r_1 \dots r_q$:

•
$$\alpha_p = r_p \oplus k_{i,j}$$

• $V[p] = DPM(r_p)$
• sends the $(\alpha_p, V[p])$ pairs

4 \mathcal{R}_j computes $DPM(\alpha_p \oplus k_{i,j})$ for all keys $k_{i,j}$ it possesses and checks it against V[p]. This is called the *Lookup Process*

 \boldsymbol{q} is selected such that it is highly improbable that the Lookup Process fails

Tag authentication

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Tag authentication

Tag authentication is a simple challenge-response:

1 \mathcal{R}_j sends a nonce n_j to the tag

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

The Molva - Di Pietro scheme $\circ \circ \bullet \circ$

Tag authentication

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Tag authentication

Tag authentication is a simple challenge-response:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends a nonce n_j to the tag
- 2 \mathcal{T}_i computes and sends $\omega = h(k_{i,j}||n_j||r_1||k_{i,j})$ to the reader

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Tag authentication

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Tag authentication

Tag authentication is a simple challenge-response:

- **1** \mathcal{R}_j sends a nonce n_j to the tag
- 2 T_i computes and sends $\omega = h(k_{i,j}||n_j||r_1||k_{i,j})$ to the reader
- 3 \mathcal{R}_j computes $r_1 = \alpha_1 \oplus k_{i,j}$ and checks ω against $h(k_{i,j}||n_j||r_1||k_{i,j})$

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

The Molva - Di Pietro scheme ○○● Reader authentication Problems with the identification

Design flaws

ヘロト 人間ト 人間ト 人間ト

æ

Reader authentication

Reader authentication is also a simple challenge-response:

1 \mathcal{R}_j computes $r_1 = \alpha_1 \oplus k_{i,j}$ and sends $h(k_{i,j}||r_1||k_{i,j})$ to the tag

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

The Molva - Di Pietro scheme ○○● Reader authentication Problems with the identification

Reader authentication

Reader authentication is also a simple challenge-response:

- 1 \mathcal{R}_j computes $r_1 = \alpha_1 \oplus k_{i,j}$ and sends $h(k_{i,j}||r_1||k_{i,j})$ to the tag
- 2 T_i computes $h(k_{i,j}||r_1||k_{i,j})$ and checks it against the received hash. If they match, the reader is authenticated

<ロト </p>

Outline

1 The Molva - Di Pietro scheme

- Private identification
- Tag authentication
- Reader authentication

2 Problems with the identification

- Key- and pair-equivalences
- Tautologies
- Speed
- Finding k_{i,j}

3 Design flaws

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes



Key- and pair-equivalences

If an even number of key blocks are inverted, the resulting key will be indistinguishable by the reader from the original key



Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Key- and pair-equivalences

Key-equivalences

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

So there are key-equivalence groups in the key space

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Key- and pair-equivalences

Key-equivalences

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- So there are key-equivalence groups in the key space
- Each key-equivalence group contains $2^{l/3-1}$ keys

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Key- and pair-equivalences

Key-equivalences

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- So there are key-equivalence groups in the key space
- Each key-equivalence group contains $2^{l/3-1}$ keys
- In a similar manner, there are pair-equivalences

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Key- and pair-equivalences

Key-equivalences

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- So there are key-equivalence groups in the key space
- Each key-equivalence group contains $2^{l/3-1}$ keys
- In a similar manner, there are pair-equivalences
- Key- and pair-equivalences cause a big headache for the Lookup Process

Tautologies

Key-equivalences

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

æ

An α_p -V[p] pair essentially give (somewhat obscure) information about the key of the tag

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Tautologies



- An α_p -V[p] pair essentially give (somewhat obscure) information about the key of the tag
- Naturally, there is only so much different information that is possible to give

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

Tautologies



- An α_p -V[p] pair essentially give (somewhat obscure) information about the key of the tag
- Naturally, there is only so much different information that is possible to give
- So, there is a chance to give the same information twice

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

Tautologies



- An α_p -V[p] pair essentially give (somewhat obscure) information about the key of the tag
- Naturally, there is only so much different information that is possible to give
- So, there is a chance to give the same information twice
- Tautology is a set of x pairs that give the same information as $x-1 \ {\rm pairs}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

Tautologies



- An α_p -V[p] pair essentially give (somewhat obscure) information about the key of the tag
- Naturally, there is only so much different information that is possible to give
- So, there is a chance to give the same information twice
- Tautology is a set of x pairs that give the same information as $x-1 \ {\rm pairs}$
- Tautologies are also possible and they cause further problems for the Lookup Process

ヘロマ ヘロマ ヘロマ ヘロマ

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

Speed



Average time and RAM required by the Lookup Process to find one tag on a Xeon E5345@2.33GHz with all optimisations other than assembly-level coding:

Number of tags	10^{6}	10^{7}	10^{8}	
Time (s)	0.1	1.1	12	
Memory (MB)	9.6	96	965	

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes



 If an attacker inverts one bit of a block in α₂ such that output of the majority function is not inverted, the Lookup Process will still find the key k_{i,j}

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



- If an attacker inverts one bit of a block in α₂ such that output of the majority function is not inverted, the Lookup Process will still find the key k_{i,j}
- If the Lookup Process finds the correct key, the authentication will go through, since only α_1 is authenticated



- If an attacker inverts one bit of a block in α₂ such that output of the majority function is not inverted, the Lookup Process will still find the key k_{i,j}
- If the Lookup Process finds the correct key, the authentication will go through, since only α_1 is authenticated
- So, by inverting one bit of a block in α₂ and checking the result of the authentication, the attacker can learn something very specific about that block

Problems with the identification

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨトー

3

Finding $k_{i,j}$

Finding $k_{i,j}$



There are only two bit-combinations for which:

- 1 inverting the fist bit does not change the majority
- 2 inverting the last bit changes the majority

These are: 011 and 100

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

The Molva - Di Pietro scheme Problems with the identification 0000Finding $k_{i,j}$ Finding $k_{i,j}$

æ

Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes



- Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information
- After $2/3 \cdot l 1$ MiM attacks the attacker breaks the key to the key-equivalence level

イロン イ理 とく ヨン ト ヨン・

æ



- Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information
- After $2/3 \cdot l 1$ MiM attacks the attacker breaks the key to the key-equivalence level

æ

At this point, the tag is no longer private

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes



- Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information
- After $2/3 \cdot l 1$ MiM attacks the attacker breaks the key to the key-equivalence level
- At this point, the tag is no longer private
- \blacksquare The attacker needs to brute-force the remaining $1/3\cdot l+1$ bits of the key using the authentication data



- Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information
- After $2/3 \cdot l 1$ MiM attacks the attacker breaks the key to the key-equivalence level
- At this point, the tag is no longer private
- The attacker needs to brute-force the remaining $1/3\cdot l+1$ bits of the key using the authentication data
- Therefore, for l = 99 the authentication can be broken easily

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

Problems with the identification

Finding $k_{i,j}$

- Each MiM authentication attack gives 1 bit of block-specific information
- After $2/3 \cdot l 1$ MiM attacks the attacker breaks the key to the key-equivalence level
- At this point, the tag is no longer private
- \blacksquare The attacker needs to brute-force the remaining $1/3\cdot l+1$ bits of the key using the authentication data
- \blacksquare Therefore, for l=99 the authentication can be broken easily
- For larger *l*-s, privacy is still lost and the scheme behaves as an authentication scheme that has a keyspace of 1/3rd+1 of available key-bits

Outline

1 The Molva - Di Pietro scheme

- Private identification
- Tag authentication
- Reader authentication

2 Problems with the identification

- Key- and pair-equivalences
- Tautologies
- Speed
- Finding $k_{i,j}$

3 Design flaws

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

æ

Design flaws

Identification and authentication boundaries should have been clearly defined

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

Design flaws

- Identification and authentication boundaries should have been clearly defined
- Identification and authentication keys should have been generated differently

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Design flaws

- Identification and authentication boundaries should have been clearly defined
- Identification and authentication keys should have been generated differently
- Given that the identification was not cryptographically secured, the integrity of the data exchanged during identification should have been authenticated during authentication

Design flaws

- Identification and authentication boundaries should have been clearly defined
- Identification and authentication keys should have been generated differently
- Given that the identification was not cryptographically secured, the integrity of the data exchanged during identification should have been authenticated during authentication
- The choice of the *DPM* function was not clearly motivated and its design was not analysed in a separate paragraph

イロト イ伺ト イヨト イヨ

Problems with the identification

Design flaws

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Thank you for your time

Any questions?

Mate Soos INRIA team PLANETE, INRIA Rhône-Alpes